

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC'
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,612	10/053,612 01/24/2002		David W. Pipes	1670-233	6158	
6449	7590	05/17/2005		EXAMINER		
ROTHWE 1425 K STR	•	G, ERNST & MAN	CEPERLE	CEPERLEY, MARY		
SUITE 800	ŒEI, N.V	.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DO	20005	1641	1641		
				DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			,612	PIPES ET AL.					
			ner	Art Unit					
		Mary (N	folly) E. Ceperley	1641					
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 28 February	2005.						
•	·	b) This action is							
,—									
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) <u>27-31 and 33-37</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-31 and 34-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>33</u> is/are rejected. 								
Application	on Papers								
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)□ 7	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	CO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/053,612 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

1) Claims 27-31 and newly submitted claims 34-37 (having the same kit components as claims 27-31) are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention for the reasons stated in paragraph 1) of the February 07, 2005 Office action and paragraph 1) of the November 02, 2004 Office action. In the February 28, 2005 response, in the paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6, applicant reiterates the same argument which was presented in the response of November 17, 2004. This argument remains unpersuasive for the reason set forth in paragraph 1) of the February 07, 2005 and paragraph 1) of the November 02, 2004 Office actions. The facts remain, i.e. that applicant elected Group II without traverse and that applicant has received an action on the merits for the elected invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- **2)** The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
 - 3) The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4) Claim 33 is rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.
- a) It is unclear what is meant by the term "an anion". With no further context, this term is not limited to "an anion" which is associated with a "stannous ion" and it is unclear what is meant to be included by the term.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,612 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

b) It is unclear if **i)** the "lyophilized...composition" is comprised of "stannous ion" and "an anion" and the metal is a <u>separate entity</u> which is not lyophilized or **ii)** the "lyophilized...composition" is comprised of all three components.

5) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6) Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There does not appear to be descriptive support in the specification for the term "a kit for preparing a metal carbonyl complex by stannous ion reduction".
- Delim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the term "an anion" used to define the counterion to the "stannous" cation, does not reasonably provide enablement for the use of any other "anion". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- **8)** Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by each of Azuma et al (US 5,015,462), Adler et al (US 4,027,005) or MALLINCKRODT (WO 96/30054) for the reasons stated in paragraphs **9)** and **12)** of the March 16, 2004 Office action. Claim 33 is directed to the same kit

Art Unit: 1641

combination of stannous ion and metal (Mn, Tc or Re) as recited in original claim 27 which has been examined on the merits.

Page 4

Each of the references describes a kit comprised of lyophilized stannous ion in combination with radioactive technetium which anticipates the kit of claim 33. See Azuma et al: col. 4, lines 51-66; col. 3, lines 11-15; Example 2; Adler et al: col. 6, lines 24-29 and 37-48; claims 14-16; col. 8, lines 22-24; MALLINCKRODT: page 11, lines 8-30.

The Remarks of August 16, 2004, pages 5-7, did not specifically address these rejections as they pertain to a rejection made under 35 USC 102.

9) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0813. The examiner can normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,612 Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 13, 2005

Mary E. Ceperley
Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1641